



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/876,102	06/06/2001	Gurtej Sandhu	MI22-1736	9602	
21567	7590 06/04/2003				
WELLS ST. JOHN ROBERTS GREGORY & MATKIN P.S. 601 W. FIRST AVENUE SUITE 1300			EXAMINER		
			CRANE, SARA W		
SPOKANE, WA 99201-3828			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2811		
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				m			
• •		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		09/876,102	SANDHU ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sara W. Crane	2811				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondenc addr	SS			
A SHOTHE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a BANDONE, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this comn ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	nunication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
1	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
, <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restriction and/or con Papers	election requirement.					
· · · _	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) 🗆 .	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) 🔲	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* 6	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age			
		•		nnlication)			
•	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen		A	n/ (DTO 442)				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1				

Application/Control Number: 09/876,102

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-28, 30-38, and 40-42, drawn to a method of forming a capacitor device, classified in class 438, subclass 239.
- II. Claims 29, 39, and 43-45, drawn to a capacitor device, classified in class 257, subclass 306.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, unpatentability of the Group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group II invention, because the device of the Group II invention could be made by process(es) materially different from those/that of the Group I invention. For example, etching to make the device as claimed could be done by electron beam, rather than by anisotropic etching using multiple masking layers as recited in the method claims. Note that product-by-process claims are drawn to the structure produced, and not to the method of making recited in the product claim. *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Application/Control Number: 09/876,102

Art Unit: 2811

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is reminded of the notice published in the Official Gazette on March 26, 1996, "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in Light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)." If, in response to a requirement for election between a product and a process of making, Applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims which depend from, or otherwise include all the limitations of, the allowable product will be rejoined. Those process claims which do not depend from, or otherwise include all the limitations of, the allowable product will not be rejoined. Rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR § 1.104 to 1.106. Process claims which depend from, or otherwise include all the limitations of, a patentable product claim

Art Unit: 2811

will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection.

Rejoinder does not constitute a withdrawal of the requirement for restriction. Rejoinder is a new procedure first authorized by the OG notice.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Crane, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4894.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Acra W Crame Sara W. Crane

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2811